

request because it is prohibited by law from doing so, or unless the requesting individual or entity does not have authority to obtain the requested records or information. If the individual or entity determines that it is unable to comply with the request, it shall file a motion with the court that issued the order requesting the court to determine the extent to which it is required to

comply with the request for records or information. Upon the filing of the motion, the court immediately shall hold a hearing on the motion, determine the extent to which the movant is required to comply with the request for records or information, and issue findings of fact and conclusions of law in support of its determination. The determination of the court shall be final. If the court

determines that the movant is required to comply with the request for records or information, it shall identify the specific records or information that must be supplied to the individual or entity that requested the records or information.

(c) If an individual or entity is required to provide copies of one or more specified records pursuant to division (D) of this section, the individual or entity

may charge a fee for the copies that does not exceed the cost of supplying them.

**(4) Division (D) of this section does not require, authorize, or permit the dissemination of any records or any information contained in any records if the dissemination of the records or information generally is prohibited by any provision of the Revised Code and a specific**

**provision of the Revised Code does not specifically authorize or permit the dissemination of the records or information pursuant to division (D) of this section. (yet disseminate they did)**

The above clearly shows that while the Police had access to the documentation from the school as well as the Juvenile court due to an Order Generated by the Grand Jury that

require  
d those  
entries  
to turn  
the  
docume  
nts over  
for  
purpos  
e of the  
investig  
ation  
being  
conduct  
ed it  
CLEAR  
LY did  
not give  
the  
Lorain  
Police  
the  
right to  
publicly  
dissemi  
nate  
the  
records  
to  
Facebo  
ok and  
beyond.  
After  
reading  
the  
respons  
e and  
seeing  
the  
unreda  
cted  
docume  
ntation I  
felt I  
had a  
duty  
and  
obligati  
on to  
report  
that to  
the  
appropri  
ate  
people  
and  
that  
was the  
Clerk of  
the  
Courts,

The  
Sherriff  
of the  
County  
(The  
actual  
protect  
or of  
the  
court  
records  
) and  
the  
Lorain  
Police  
the  
agency  
that  
had  
posted  
them.

It feels  
like the  
Police  
Depart  
ment is  
upset  
that I  
added  
my  
professi  
onal  
"titles"  
on my  
complai  
nt. It  
also  
feels  
like  
they  
are  
possibl  
y not  
upset  
about  
my  
titles at  
all and  
are  
maybe  
trying to  
protect  
their  
carefull  
y  
constru  
cted  
narrativ  
e? It  
also

really  
feels a  
bit  
retaliato  
ry in  
how  
they  
are  
going  
about  
silencin  
g their  
critics.

Also  
please  
refer to:

Section  
2151.3  
56 |  
Sealing  
of  
juvenile  
court  
records

Ohio  
Revised  
Code/Ti  
tle 21  
Courts-  
Probate

Juvenil  
e/Chapt  
er 2151  
Juvenil  
e Court

(A) The  
records  
of a  
case in  
which a  
person  
was  
adjudic  
ated a  
delinqu  
ent  
child for  
committ  
ing a  
violatio  
n of  
section

2903.0  
1,  
2903.0  
2, or  
2907.0  
2 of the  
Revised Code  
shall  
not be  
sealed  
under  
this  
section.

(B)(1)  
The  
juvenile  
court  
shall  
promptly  
order  
the  
immediate  
sealing  
of  
records  
pertaining  
to a  
juvenile  
in any  
of the  
following  
circumstances:  
...

Section  
2151.3  
57 |  
Response  
respecting  
sealed  
records  
- index  
- limited  
inspection.

Ohio  
Revised  
Code/Title 21  
Courts-

Probate  
-  
Juvenile/Chapter 2151  
Juvenile Court

(A) If the court orders the records of a person sealed pursuant to section 2151.356 of the Revised Code, the person who is subject of the order properly may, and the court shall, reply that no record exists with respect to the person upon any inquiry in the matter, and the court, except as provided in division (D) of this section,

shall do  
all of  
the  
followin  
g:

...

(2) Any  
entry  
regardi  
ng a  
sealed  
record  
in the  
index of  
sealed  
records  
shall  
not  
contain  
either  
of the  
followin  
g:

(a) The  
social  
security  
number  
of the  
person  
who is  
subject  
of the  
sealed  
record;

(b) The  
name  
or a  
descript  
ion of  
the act  
committ  
ed.

(E)  
Inspecti  
on of  
records  
that  
have  
been  
ordered  
sealed  
under  
section  
2151.3  
56 of  
the

Revised Code may be made only by the following persons or for the following purposes:

(1) By the court;

...

(F) No officer or employee of the state or any of its political subdivisions shall knowingly release, disseminate, or make available for any purpose involving employment, bonding, licensing, or education to any person or to any depart

ment,  
agency,  
or other  
instrum  
entality  
of the  
state or  
of any  
of its  
political  
subdivi  
sions  
any  
informa  
tion or  
other  
data  
concern  
ing any  
arrest,  
taking  
into  
custody  
,  
complai  
nt,  
indictm  
ent,  
informa  
tion,  
trial,  
hearing  
,  
adjudic  
ation,  
or  
correcti  
onal  
supervi  
sion,  
the  
records  
of  
which  
have  
been  
sealed  
pursua  
nt to  
section  
2151.3  
56 of  
the  
Revised  
Code  
and the  
release,  
dissemi  
nation,  
or  
making

available of which is not expressly permitted by this section. Whoever violates this division is guilty of divulging confidential information, a misdemeanor of the fourth degree. (This is the scary one)

Just wanted to share and say in the end all they had to say in response was that I was wrong if that is what they felt. I signed everything with my credentials because I am proud

of them  
but also  
in this  
situation  
it  
signified  
why I  
was  
being  
so  
particular.  
I believe  
I even  
said  
that in  
my  
official  
complaint  
that  
this  
complaint  
was  
low key  
different  
than  
the very  
public  
First  
Amendment  
one.

Aaron  
Christopher  
Knapp,  
BSSW,  
LSW,  
CDCA(  
p)  
NASW  
Member  
ID:  
886836  
612

**Aaron  
Christopher  
Knapp,  
LSW,  
CDCA(  
p),BSS  
W**

NOTICE:  
THIS  
ELECTRONIC

MESSA  
GE  
TRANS  
MISSIO  
N  
CONTA  
INS  
INFOR  
MATIO  
N  
WHICH  
MAY  
BE  
CONFI  
DENTI  
AL OR  
PRIVIL  
EGED.  
THE  
INFOR  
MATIO  
N IS  
INTEN  
DED  
ONLY  
FOR  
THE  
USE  
OF  
THE  
INDIVI  
DUAL(  
S) OR  
ENTITY  
(IES)  
NAME  
D  
ABOVE  
. IF  
YOU  
ARE  
NOT  
THE  
INTEN  
DED  
RECIPI  
ENT,  
PLEAS  
E BE  
AWAR  
E THAT  
ANY  
DISCL  
OSURE  
,  
COPYI  
NG,  
DISTR  
IBUTIO  
N, OR  
USE

OF  
THE  
CONTE  
NTS  
OF  
THIS  
INFOR  
MATIO  
N IS  
PROHI  
BITED.  
IF  
YOU  
HAVE  
RECEI  
VED  
THIS  
ELECT  
RONIC  
TRANS  
MISSIO  
N IN  
ERRO  
R,  
PLEAS  
E  
IMMED  
IATELY  
NOTIF  
Y THE  
SENDE  
R AND  
DELET  
E THE  
COPY  
YOU  
RECEI  
VED.

## Beko, Michele

**From:** Garon Petty <garonpetty@roadrunner.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 14, 2025 11:48 PM  
**To:** Bradley, Jack; Rey Carrion; Ted Kalo; Patrick Riley; City Council Mail Group; McCann, James - Chief; Lorain Police  
**Cc:** Brad Dicken; Darryl Tucker; Mike Mason; Clevescene; Fox 8; lcp@lcprosecutor.org; Lindsay Carr; Robert J Gargas; Aaron Knapp; Michael Scherach  
**Subject:** Re: Who was driving Cruiser 106?

External sender <garonpetty@roadrunner.com>


Make sure you trust this sender before taking any actions.

Mayor Bradley, SSDirector Carrion,

I asked Feb 12 who was driving the Cruiser? Mr. Mayor and Mr. Safety/Service director how do you vote to pay for a cruiser crashing into a fence without a driver?

I sent you the email before you two voted to pay. Why won't you two answer my requests?

Garon Petty



On Feb 12, 2025, at 10:29 AM, Garon Petty <garonpetty@roadrunner.com> wrote:

Good morning Mayor and Safety Service Director and Lorain officials,

Cruiser 106 of Lorain Police department backed into a fence and did over \$9,800.00 damage to the cruiser and fence. How did that cruiser do it?

Was any human driving 106 disciplined? Was anyone charged in Lorain Municipal Court? Is the driver going to reimburse the Lorain Taxpayers? Who was the driver of cruiser 106? When did the crash occur? Was the cruiser/driver ticketed? What was the court determination of the crash? Why wasn't the Citizens of Lorain told about the crash? What was the court case number if any? Where is the cruiser being repaired?

Did the fence company (Rolen Bros) make the only bid to repair the fence along with other companies?

A copy of the police report for over \$9,800.00 per 149.43 ORC please.

Thank you,  
Garon Petty

[Print](#) [Reading Mode](#) [Back to Calendar](#) [Return](#) [Prev](#)

## Board of Control

Meeting Date:

02/12/2025

Subject:

A request from the Department of Public Property, on behalf of L 1501 Black River Blvd by Rolen Bros. Fence Co., Inc. for an amc 106 backed into the fence, causing damage to both the fence an will issue payment for total damages minus our \$5,000.00 deduc account 2590.S400.6400.2200, Maintenance of Facilities.

## Beko, Michele

---

**From:** Robert J. Gargasz <rjgargasz@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 15, 2025 3:13 PM  
**To:** City Council Mail Group; Rey Carrion; Jack Bradley; Patrick Riley; Joel Arredondo; Don Zaleski; Jacob Morris; Joe K Auditor; Garon Petty; Tony Cillo; Aaron Knapp; Teresa Upton; jeanne Petty; Rocky Radeff; jfr\_74@hotmail.com Jeff Riddel; David david@yesce.com Moore; Michael Scherach; Major Steven Scharschmidt; Maggie Partin; Bowles, Scott; Brian Massie; David O'Brien; Brad Dicken; Carissa Woytach; Julie Wallace; Darryl Tucker; Brad Dicken; Brian Ames; Aaron Knapp; Ohio Up!; David Yost AG; Lindsay Carr; Fox 8; Congressman Jim Jordan; Lindsay Carr; Joshua.Eck@governor.ohio.gov; Governor Mike DeWine  
**Cc:** Marty Gallagher; Sheriff Jack Hall; Mallory\_holmes@cityoflorain.org; Chief McCann; Kyle Gelenius; David J Moore; Jon Morrow; Jon Morrow; Jacob Morris; Meilander Sande; Sylvia Duvall; Lorain Secretary; Helmuth Chamale; Jim Dowdell; Rick Gronsky; William. Bill Poplar; Kirsten Hill  
**Subject:** Ex-FEMA Worker Violated Hatch Act by Advising Staff to Avoid Homes with Trump Signs, Agency Reports – Spreely News

Warning: Unusual link

This message contains an unusual link, which may lead to a malicious site.

Confirm the message is safe before clicking any links. <https://news.spreely.com/ex-fema-worker-violated-hatch-act-by-advising-staff-to-avoid-homes-with-trump-signs-agency-reports/>

Sent from my iPhone

## Beko, Michele

---

**From:** Garon Petty <onelung2014@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 15, 2025 3:17 PM  
**To:** Robert J. Gargasz  
**Cc:** City Council Mail Group; Rey Carrion; Jack Bradley; Patrick Riley; Joel Arredondo; Don Zaleski; Jacob Morris; Joe K Auditor; Tony Cillo; Aaron Knapp; Teresa Upton; Jeanne Petty; Rocky Radeff; jfr\_74@hotmail.com Jeff Riddel; David david@yesce.com Moore; Michael Scherach; Major Steven Scharschmidt; Maggie Partin; Bowles, Scott; Brian Massie; David O'Brien; Brad Dicken; Carissa Woytach; Julie Wallace; Darryl Tucker; Brian Ames; Aaron Knapp; Ohio Up!; David Yost AG; Lindsay Carr; Fox 8; Congressman Jim Jordan; Joshua.Eck@governor.ohio.gov; Governor Mike DeWine; Marty Gallagher; Sheriff Jack Hall; Mallory\_holmes@cityoflorain.org; Chief McCann; Kyle Gelenius; David J Moore; Jon Morrow; Jon Morrow; Meilander Sande; Sylvia Duvall; Lorain Secretary; Helmuth Chamale; Jim Dowdell; Rick Gronsky; William. Bill Poplar; Kirsten Hill  
**Subject:** Re: Ex-FEMA Worker Violated Hatch Act by Advising Staff to Avoid Homes with Trump Signs, Agency Reports – Spreely News

**Warning: Unusual sender** <onelung2014@gmail.com>

You don't usually receive emails from this address. Make sure you trust this sender before taking any actions.

She also claimed that the directive came from higher-ups within FEMA, though this was denied by former FEMA director, Deanne Criswell. Washington believes she became the fall guy simply because she was caught. Her notes in a Microsoft Teams chat were discovered, leading to her dismissal.

Electronic communications will keep people honest? LOL

On Sat, Feb 15, 2025 at 3:13 PM Robert J. Gargasz <[rjgargasz@gmail.com](mailto:rjgargasz@gmail.com)> wrote:

<https://news.spreely.com/ex-fema-worker-violated-hatch-act-by-advising-staff-to-avoid-homes-with-trump-signs-agency-reports/>

Sent from my iPhone